

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/088,208	03/15/2002	Wataru Matsumoto	2611-0179P	1072	
2292 7	590 01/26/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			GREY, CHRISTOPHER P		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	<b>,</b>		2667		

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/088,208	MATSUMOTO, WATA	\RU
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher P. Grey	2667	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence addre	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed  "HS from the mailing date of this commit  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15	March 2002.		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		• •	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdreds 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6-11 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	ccepted or b) objected to be ne drawing(s) be held in abeyand ection is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the certified co	nts have been received. nts have been received in Apionity documents have been read (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Sta	ge
Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date	
2) ☐ Notice of Dratisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0: Paper No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application (PTO-15	2)

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

1. Claim 1, 2 and 3 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim is narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure, which goes to make up the device, must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.

Specifically, the examiner makes note of claim 1 line 15, where the applicant claims, "which, on the other hand", which is deemed as an indefinite statement.

### Claim Objections

2. Claim 3 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative only. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claim has not been further treated on the merits.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sakamoto (JP 8097798) in view of Sudo et al. (US 6747945), hereinafter referred to as Sudo.
- <u>Claim 4</u> Sakamoto discloses a transmitter unit which converts a transmission symbol to a half symbol and conducts communication in such a manner that even sub carriers and odd sub carriers are evaluated differently (paragraph 0015-0016).

Sakamoto does not specifically disclose a predetermined power difference between even numbered sub carriers and odd numbered sub carriers, which is interference components at the time of demodulation.

Sudo discloses a predetermined power difference between sub carriers which is interference components at the time of demodulation (Col 1 lines 65-Col 2 lines 8)

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the controller as disclosed by Sudo within the transmitter as disclosed by Sakamoto. The motivation for this combination is to improve an error rate characteristic (see abstract)

<u>Claim 5</u> Sakomoto discloses a multiplexing unit that spreads transmission data with a predetermined spreading code (paragraph 0017).

Application/Control Number: 10/088,208

Art Unit: 2667

Page 4

Sakomoto discloses the transmitter conducting inverse Fourier transform on the signal subjected to the spreading, and thereby generating the transmission symbol (paragraph 0020-0021).

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 6-11 are allowed.
- 5. Claims 2 and 3 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/088,208

Art Unit: 2667

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Christopher P. Grey whose telephone number is

(571)272-3160. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Chi Pham can be reached on (571)272-3179. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher Grev

Examiner Art Unit 2667

CHI PHAM
SORY PATENT EXAMPLE 1/23/06

Page 5